EOSC 433: OPEN ENDED DESIGN PROBLEM 4 - CROWN PILLAR HEIGHT

Grading Rubric

Name:

| | EXCELLENT | G00D | SATISFACTORY | NEEDS IMPROVEMENT | PTS. |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|------|
| | (90 – 100%) | (89 – 72%) | (71 – 60%) | (≤59%) | |
| OVERALL CONTENT | Maximum 75% | | | | |
| INTRODUCTION | Very clearly and concisely: o Identifies the problem AND o States the purpose of the report | Adequately: o Identifies the problem AND o States the purpose of the report | Vaguely: o Identifies the problem OR o States the purpose of the report | Inadequately: o Identifies the problem AND o States the purpose of the report | |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | Clearly describes the project in detail, taking into account the: Site geology AND Other given parameters | Describes the project in detail, taking into account the: Site geology OR Other given parameters | Describes some details of the project (but misses key aspects) in: Site geology OR Other given parameters | Lacks to describe the project in detail | /5 |
| MODELING AND INPUT PARAMETERS | Clearly identifies all the information needed to run the model: States and describes the software Designs the model as per project specifications and describes other parameters (excavation/ staged/ external boundaries and mesh) States and briefly justifies all the input parameters (rock mass/backfill, elastic/strength parameters) Describes any other model assumptions/methods | Identifies the information needed to run the model: States and describes the software Designs the model as per project specifications States and briefly justifies some input parameters (rock mass/backfill, elastic/strength parameters) Describes some model assumptions/methods | Identifies basic information needed to run the model: States the software used Omits certain parts of the model Only states the input parameters (rock mass/backfill, elastic/strength parameters) Vaguely justifies the in situ stresses Does not describe any other model assumptions/methods | Lacks to identify basic information needed to run the model: Does not state the software Does not design the model as per project specifications States a few or no input parameters (rock mass/backfill, elastic/strength parameters) Does not justify the in situ stresses Does not describe any other model assumptions/methods | /25 |
| FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS | Explains the different k ratios/ in situ stresses Clearly explains the determination of crown pillar height Effectively evaluates the crown pillar height by: | Explains the different k ratios/ in situ stresses Adequately explains the determination of crown pillar height Adequately evaluates the crown pillar height by: Commenting on the SF and yielded material Effect of staging/backfilling Pillar/pit wall interaction Comparing the results from the two k ratios Adequately interprets the critical k ratio | Vaguely explains the different k ratios/ in situ stresses Vaguely explains the determination of crown pillar height Vaguely evaluates the crown pillar height by: Commenting on the SF and yielded material OR Effect of staging/backfilling OR Pillar/pit wall interaction OR Comparing the results from the two k ratios Vaguely interprets the critical k ratio | Does not explain the different k ratios/ in situ stresses Does not explains the determination of crown pillar height Does not evaluate the crown pillar height Does not interpret the critical k ratio | /35 |
| RECOMMENDATION | Clearly gives a final recommendation: Acknowledges previous results Acknowledges the limitations of the analysis Recommends other factors to consider for future analysis | Gives a final recommendation BUT misses one of the following: Acknowledges previous results Acknowledges the limitations of the analysis Recommends other factors to consider for future analysis | Gives a final recommendation based on results and one of the following: Acknowledges previous results Acknowledges the limitations of the analysis Recommends other factors to consider for future analysis | Does not give or clearly state a final recommendation based on the results | /10 |

EOSC 433: OPEN ENDED DESIGN PROBLEM 4 - CROWN PILLAR HEIGHT

Grading Rubric

| TECHNICAL COMMUNICATION Maximum 20% | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|
| ORGANIZATION | Report is clearly organized in a logical manner Report contains headings and subheadings, which contribute to clarity | Report is adequately organized in a logical manner Report has headings and subheadings | Report is organized but contains some weak sections Report has headings and subheadings | Report is poorly organized Report might have headings and subheadings, but do not contribute to clarity | /5 | | | |
| MECHANICS | Well-written. Excellent grammar, spelling, and punctuation All numerical values have the appropriate number of significant figures | Report is easy to read but may contain a few errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation Most numerical values have the appropriate number of significant figures | Report is somewhat difficult to follow due to errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation Some numerical values have the appropriate number of significant figures | Report is difficult to follow due to many errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation All numerical values do not have the appropriate number of significant figures | /5 | | | |
| FIGURES AND TABLES | All figures/tables selected contribute to meaning of the report. Also: O All tables/figures are legible and well-placed on the page O All table/figure captions are descriptive O All tables/figures clearly link to the text | Figures/tables selected generally contribute to meaning of the report, and misses one of the following: O All tables/figures are legible and well-placed on the page O All table/figure captions are descriptive O All tables/figures link to the text | Figures/tables selected slightly contribute to meaning of the report, and misses two of the following: O All tables/figures are legible and well-placed on the page O All table/figure captions are descriptive O All tables/figures link to the text | Figure/tables selected slightly contribute to meaning of the report and misses the following: O All tables/figures are legible and well-placed on the page O All table/figure captions are descriptive O All tables/figures link to the text | /5 | | | |
| REFERENCES | Report includes relevant references using appropriate format All references are appropriately cited within the text | Report includes some relevant references appropriate format Might use appropriate format AND/OR citation within the text | Includes irrelevant references | Does not include references (0 points) | /3 | | | |
| REPORT PRESENTATION | Report contains a: o Title page AND o Page numbers | | Report contains a: o Title page OR o Page numbers | Report lacks a (0 points): o Title page AND o Page numbers | /2 | | | |
| TA'S DISCRETION Maximum of 5% given for exemplary work and effort | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | |

Additional Comments: