Thin, Low-Velocity Crust Beneath the Southern Yukon-Tanana Terrane, East Central Alaska: Results From Trans-Alaska Crustal Transect Refraction/Wide-Angle Reflection Data
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A seismic refraction/wide-angle reflection survey for the Trans-Alaska Crustal Transect program reveals a thin, reflective crust beneath the southern Yukon-Tanana terrane (YTT) in east central Alaska. These data are the first detailed refraction survey of the southern YTT and compose a 130-km-long reversed profile along the Alaska and Richardson highways. Results from this study indicate that low-velocity (≈ 6.4 km/s) rocks extend to approximately 27 km in depth. Based on these low velocities and an average Poisson’s ratio of 0.23 determined for depths of ≤ 27 km, an overall silicic composition is interpreted for this portion of the crust beneath the Yukon-Tanana terrane. From approximately 8 to 27 km depth the crust exhibits an increase in reflectivity. This middle to lower crustal reflectivity is modeled as alternating high- and low-velocity lamellae with an average velocity of 6.1 km/s at 10 km depth to an average velocity of 6.4 km/s at 27 km depth. Beneath these reflective, low-velocity rocks a 3- to 5-km-thick, 7.0 km/s basal crustal layer produces a prominent reflection that extends to offsets of up to 280 km. The crust-mantle boundary, modeled at an average depth of 30 km, produces a variable $PmP$ reflection, which may indicate lateral heterogeneity of this boundary, and a weak and emergent $Pn$ refraction with a velocity of 8.2 km/s. We interpret the crustal section as follows: the low-velocity rocks of the southern YTT extend from the surface to depths of approximately 10 km; underthrust Mesozoic flysch of the Kahiltna terrane, rocks of the Gravina arc, and basement of the Wrangellia(?) terrane extend from 10 to 27 km depth; a 3- to 5-km-thick layer of mantle-derived mafic rocks, relic oceanic crust, or Wrangellia(?) terrane lower crust extends from 27 to approximately 30 km depth; a tectonically young Moho beneath the southern YTT is found at an average depth of 30 km; and it is underlain by a mantle that may be relatively cool and/or olivine rich. In this interpretation, the Yukon-Tanana terrane is a thin-skinned terrane. Our results indicate that tectonic, and possibly magmatic, underplating has played a significant role in crustal growth for central Alaska.

INTRODUCTION

The division of the North American Cordillera into tectonostratigraphic terranes [Coney et al., 1981; Jones et al., 1962; Monger, 1984] has provided a framework for developing an accretionary history along the continental margin. Alaska, with its plethora of allochthonous terranes, has proven useful in this endeavor. To investigate the structure, composition, and evolution of the Alaskan crust, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) initiated the Trans-Alaska Crustal Transect (TACT) program in 1984 [Page et al., 1986]. The TACT program is a multidisciplinary investigation of the tectonostratigraphic terranes that compose the Alaskan lithosphere from Valdez to Prudhoe Bay along the Trans-Alaska Pipeline system and across the adjacent Pacific and Arctic Alaskan continental margins. Results from this program and other studies reveal significant geologic differences [e.g., Plafker et al., 1989a] as well as geophysical differences [Barnes, 1977; Campbell and Nokleberg, 1986; Campbell, 1987; Labson et al., 1988; Stanley et al., 1990] between terranes. Seismically, terranes traversed by TACT also exhibit unique reflection and velocity structures [e.g., Brocher et al., 1989; Fisher et al., 1989; Goodwin et al., 1989, Fuis et al., 1991].

This paper presents TACT refraction results from the southern Yukon-Tanana terrane (YTT) in east central Alaska. During the summer of 1987 the USGS, in cooperation with the Geological Survey of Canada, collected three 130-km-long refraction/wide-angle reflection profiles in the YTT and across its southern and northwestern boundaries. We present the results from the central profile, referred to as the Fairbanks South deployment, which begins approximately 30 km southeast of Delta Junction along the Alaska Highway and extends to approximately 30 km southeast of Fairbanks subparallel to the Richardson Highway (Figure 1). The velocity model from this study indicates the crust beneath the YTT averages 30 km thick with an average velocity of 6.1 km/s. The crustal velocity model has several distinguishing characteristics: (1) Crustal velocities remain...
low (≤6.4 km/s) to a depth of approximately 27 km. (2) The crust has a low average Poisson’s ratio of 0.23 to approximately 27 km in depth. (3) The middle to lower crust is reflective. (4) The base of the crust consists of a thin, 3- to 5-km-thick, layer with an average velocity of 7.0 km/s overlying an upper mantle with a velocity of 8.2 km/s. The low Poisson’s ratio and the low average velocity suggest that the bulk of the crust beneath the YTT has a silicic composition.

To date, most tectonic models for the YTT have focused on its origin and relation to the North American plate with only general comments on the deep crustal structure and composition. Foster and Keith [1974] postulated that the terrane is a continental fragment rafted into Paleozoic North America on oceanic crust. Tempelman-Kluit [1976] interpreted the YTT as a subduction assemblage emplaced on autochthonous North American basement. Several authors have proposed that the YTT is the remnant of a magmatic arc constructed on continental crust [Dusel-Bacon and Aleinikoff, 1985; Mortensen and Jilson, 1985]. Most recently, Stanley et al. [1990] proposed that the YTT is a remnant magmatic arc, with a present-day thickness of less than 10 km, suprachronous to tectonically underplated basin sediments of at least 10 km in thickness.

The Fairbanks South deployment refraction profile is the first detailed crustal study of the YTT in central Alaska and will help elucidate the nature of the crust beneath the YTT. Along with these seismic refraction results, this study incor-
porates available bedrock geologic and other geophysical data to constrain an accretionary model for the YTT. By so doing, we hope to better understand the processes which affected the current structure, composition, and evolution of the YTT and which are responsible for continental growth in general.

**Geologic Setting**

**Regional Setting**

The southern and central parts of the YTT crossed by the refraction survey (Figure 1) are interpreted as a fragment of metamorphosed middle Paleozoic continental margin arc and older rocks [Nokleberg and Aleinikoff, 1985; Foster et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989]. The YTT occurs discontinuously in four widely separated areas of the North American Cordillera, in east central Alaska, southeastern Alaska, western Yukon Territory, and southern British Columbia [Foster et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1987; Monger and Berg, 1987; Gehrels et al., 1990]. Bounding the YTT on the southwest and northeast are the dextral-slip Denali and Tintina faults respectively (Figure 1). The Denali fault has offset terranes along the southwest border of the YTT by 400 km since the mid-Cretaceous [Nokleberg et al., 1985; Plafker et al., 1989b], of which 1 to 6.5 km occurred in the Quaternary [Stout et al., 1973]. The Tintina fault has offset the northwest boundary of the YTT by 450 km chiefly in the Cenozoic [Mortensen and Jilsen, 1983]. A series of conjugate sinistral-slip faults, including the Shaw Creek fault, postdates the early Tertiary plutons in the YTT.

The YTT is one of several tectonic fragments of diverse origins that compose a collage of accreted terranes in east central and southern Alaska (Figure 1). Juxtaposed across the Denali fault are the Kahlutna, Maclaren, Windy, and Wrangellia terranes to the south with the YTT to the north. The major terranes north of the YTT are the Kandik River, Manley, Tozitna, Ruby, White Mountains, and Wickersham terranes and a part of the North American miogeocline (Figure 1) [Churkin et al., 1982; Jones et al., 1987; Patton et al., 1989a, b]. Structurally overlying parts of the YTT are the Seventymile and Stikinia terranes (Figure 1). The Seventymile terrane occurs in a series of discontinuous klippen of obducted oceanic lithosphere of late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic age [Foster et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989]. The Stikinia terrane is a fragment of a Jurassic batholithic arc and older, moderate-grade metasedimentary wall rocks [Foster et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1987].

**Southern Yukon-Tanana Terrane**

Along the TACT transect, the southern YTT (Figure 2) consists mainly of a highly deformed and regionally metamorphosed sequence of Devonian metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks and Devonian and Mississippian metagranitic rocks [Aleinikoff and Nokleberg, 1985; Nokleberg and Aleinikoff, 1985; Foster et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989]. Sparse granitic plutons of mid-Cretaceous, Late Cretaceous, and early Tertiary age intrude the southern YTT (Figure 2). The southern YTT is divided into several fault bounded subterranes with shallow level metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks occurring to the south and deeper level metagranitic and metasedimentary rocks occurring to the north (Figure 2). The subterrane along the southern part of the seismic survey are, from south to north, the Jarvis Creek Glacier, Lake George, and Butte subterranes (Figure 2). The Jarvis Creek Glacier subterrane, with locally abundant Devonian metvolcanic rocks, forms one of the structurally highest parts of the YTT. The Lake George subterrane, with locally abundant Devonian metagranitic plutons, forms the most complex bedrock unit of the YTT and is the structurally deepest level unit. The Butte subterrane, with locally abundant Devonian metavolcanic rocks, forms a major, upper level, east-northeast trending thrust sheet [Weber et al., 1978; Foster et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989]. The Butte subterrane is correlated with the very similar Devonian metvolcanic member of the Jarvis Creek Glacier subterrane to the south and with the similar, but less metamorphosed, Devonian and Mississippian Tatla Schist to the west [Foster et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989].

The Butte subterrane displays complex structural relations. It structurally overlies the Lake George subterrane to the south and the Birch Hill and Chena River subterranes to the north (Figure 2). In turn, the Butte subterrane is structurally overlain by the oceanic lithosphere of the Seventymile terrane. At the base of the Butte subterrane is an intense zone of mylonite and mylonitic schist which displays east-southeast directed, extensional lineations [Pavlis et al., 1988]. The zone of mylonites and most of the faults between subterranes are interpreted as the result of extension that occurred subsequent to prograde metamorphism of the YTT [Pavlis et al., 1988; Nokleberg et al., 1989].

The southern YTT is multiply deformed and metamorphosed. Each subterrane displays a unique metamorphic grade [Nokleberg et al., 1989]. The Jarvis Creek Glacier and Butte subterranes display a diagnostic lower greenschist metamorphic assemblage. The Lake George subterrane exhibits upper amphibolite facies metamorphism in the structurally lower sillimanite gneiss unit. The structurally overlying pelitic schist unit exhibits lower amphibolite facies metamorphism. Retrograde metamorphism and associated penetrative deformation occur along the southern margin of the Jarvis Creek Glacier subterrane. From south to north along the Richardson Highway, lower greenschist facies metamorphic minerals systematically replace lower amphibolite facies minerals along an intense mylonitic schistosity.

**Northern Yukon-Tanana Terrane**

The northern YTT consists mainly of two highly deformed and regionally metamorphosed sequences (Figure 2) [Weber et al., 1978; Foster et al., 1987; Nokleberg et al., 1989]: (1) the structurally suprajacent Birch Hill, Chena River, and Chatanika subterranes that consist of early Paleozoic (?) and Late Proterozoic and older metasedimentary rocks; and (2) the structurally subjacent Fairbanks subterrane that consists of Devonian and older metasedimentary rocks that contain lenses of metavolcanic and related rocks and locally abundant Devonian metagranitic rocks. Sparse granitic plutons of Late Cretaceous and/or early Tertiary age intrude the northern YTT (Figure 2).

The Birch Hill, Chena River, and Chatanika subterranes consist mainly of regionally metamorphosed and penetratively deformed metasedimentary rocks derived from marl, fine-grained quartz- and clay-rich sedimentary rocks, locally carbonaceous, and limestone. The Chena River subterrane is
locally intruded by Devonian augen gneiss plutons and locally is in part Late Proterozoic or older where intruded by a Late Proterozoic orthoaugen gneiss [Nokleberg et al., 1989]. The Birch Hill subterrane is weakly metamorphosed at lower greenschist facies and structurally overlies the Chena River subterrane which is metamorphosed up to upper amphibolite facies. Both units structurally overlie the Fairbanks subterrane. To the north, the eclogite facies and similar protolith Chatanika subterrane also structurally overlies the Fairbanks unit and is interpreted as the basal part of the metasedimentary sequence of the Birch Hill, Chena River, and Chatanika subterranes. The Fairbanks subterrane is the structurally lowest unit in the northern YTT (Figure 2), and is correlated with the very similar metasedimentary rock member of the Jarvis Creek Glacier subterrane to the south. The Fairbanks subterrane is metamorphosed up to upper greenschist facies [Foster et al., 1987].

Penetrative Structures of the Yukon-Tanana Terrane

An intense penetrative mylonitic schistosity and parallel, sheared isoclinal folds form the major structure in the YTT. Generally, schistosity, axial planes of isoclinal folds, and parallel compositional layering are subhorizontal or dip gently north or south (Figure 2). Mylonitic schistosity and compositional layering are locally refolded, indicating a complex structural history. Isotopic studies indicate mainly an intense early to mid-Cretaceous age of prograde regional metamorphism and associated penetrative deformation [Nokleberg et al., 1986, 1989]. The zone of retrogressive mylonites at the base of the Butte subterrane formed after the prograde event and before crosscutting of faults between subterranes by undeformed, early Tertiary granitic plutons (Figure 2). To the south, compositional layering and schistosity are warped to progressively steeper attitudes toward...
the Denali fault, thereby forming a major regional antiform of Cenozoic age [Nokleberg et al., 1989].

**Faults Between Subterrane**

The gently dipping, nearly concordant faults between the YTT subterrane are the loci of coincidental changes in metamorphic grade and protolith. In both the southern and northern YTT, generally lower-grade and more shallow level facies units occur over higher-grade and deeper level facies units. These relations clearly indicate that the nearly concordant faults (1) formed mainly after prograde metamorphism and (2) are mainly extensional [Pavlis et al., 1988; Nokleberg et al., 1989].

**Previous Studies**

Prior to the initiation of the TACT project, only a few geophysical studies of the YTT had been published. Hanson et al. [1968] collected a 217-km, northeast striking, seismic refraction/wide-angle reflection line centered on the Tanana Basin southwest of Fairbanks (Figure 1). Using these refraction data, together with Bouguer gravity, they modeled a crust varying in thickness from 48 km under the Alaska Range to 32 km beneath Fairbanks. This velocity model showed a simple four-layer structure with velocities of 3.7, 5.4, 5.8, and 6.4 km/s and an average crustal Poisson's ratio of 0.24. A velocity model based on quarry blasts and earthquakes from central Alaska was published by Gedney et al. [1980]. The crust in this model consists of two layers, a 24-km-thick 5.9 km/s velocity layer underlain by a 16-km-thick 7.4 km/s velocity layer; the total crustal thickness is 40 km, and the upper mantle is modeled with a velocity of 7.9 km/s. Earthquake swarms located near Fairbanks between 1970 and 1979 show maximum focal depths of 20 km and dominantly right-lateral focal mechanisms on NW-SE striking faults [Gedney et al., 1980].

Recent geologic and geophysical studies suggest that the YTT is a thin-skinned (≤10 km thick) terrane. Geological mapping [Nokleberg and Aleinikoff, 1985; Foster et al., 1987] and recent modeling of magnetotelluric and seismic reflection data [Labson et al., 1988; Stanley et al., 1990] suggest that the base of the southern Yukon-Tanana terrane
is as shallow as 5–7 km. Additionally, the magnetotelluric studies indicate a low resistivity (2–10 ohm m) layer beneath the YTT extending from about 10 km to about 20 km in depth. This highly conductive, midcrustal to lower-crustal layer is interpreted as graphite-rich, underplated Mesozoic flysch thrust under the southern margin of the Yukon-Tanana terrane along an ancestral branch of the Denali fault [Stanley et al., 1990]. Recent studies by Gehrels et al. [1990] suggest that most of the (former) Tracy Arm and eastern Taku terranes in southeastern Alaska can be correlated with the YTT and that this major fragment of the YTT is thrust over Mesozoic flysch of the Gravina-Nutzotin belt and the Wrangellia terrane.

In addition to the studies mentioned above, heat flow, gravity, and aeromagnetic surveys have been conducted in the YTT. Heat flow measurements taken from two holes in the vicinity of Fairbanks and two holes near the Alaska-Canada border ranged from 75 to 1000 mW/m² [Lawver et al., 1981]. Gravity measurements along the Fairbanks South deployment show a 60-mGal decrease from northwest to southeast, which is correlated with a crustal thickening toward the Alaska range [Woollard et al., 1960; Barnes, 1977]. Magnetic anomalies in this region are related to lithologic and metamorphic contacts. The Shaw Creek fault (Figure 2) is well defined as a linear interruption of magnetic anomalies on the aeromagnetic map [Griscom, 1978].

**DATA COLLECTION**

The Fairbanks South deployment consisted of 130 km of reversed seismic refraction/ wide-angle reflection data (Figures 1 and 2). Instruments spaced 1 km apart recorded 11 shots varying in size from 227 to 2724 kg of explosive. Shots were detonated in 50-m-deep drill holes or shallow (3–15) glacial lakes. Shot points are spaced between 15- and 30-km in-line and at 75-km intervals off-end affording a maximum offset of 280 km. The in-line shots provide velocity control on the upper 5–10 km of crust within the spread. The off-end shots provide reversed sampling of the crust to Moho depths. The recording instruments consisted of 120 U.S. Geological Survey cassette recorders [Healy et al., 1982; Murphy, 1988] and 12 Geological Survey of Canada (GSC).
Fig. 3. (continued)
PRS-J digital recorders; both systems utilized 2-Hz vertical component geophones. The USGS instruments record an FM multiplexed analog signal on magnetic tape. This analog signal was converted to digital format using a sampling interval of 5 ms. The GSC instruments record a digital signal sampled at 8 ms. For a more detailed account of the data processing and the merging of the USGS cassette recorder data with the GSC digital recorder data, see Beaudoin et al. [1989].

The instruments were deployed at presurveyed sites that were located using orthophoto quadrangles, aerial photographs, and topographic maps, all at a scale of 1:63,360. Site locations have estimated errors of 50 m laterally and 10 m in elevation. Seismic traces have timing accuracies of about 20-30 ms, given instrument clock drifts and some uncertainty in matching GSC and USGS digital seismic traces [Beaudoin et al., 1989].

DATA CHARACTERISTICS

Major compressional wave phase characteristics of the Fairbanks South deployment are shown in Figure 3. Although all of the recorded shots were used in the modeling, only several shot points which exemplify the characteristics are discussed in detail below (Figures 4-7). Additional information is provided by wide-angle shear wave reflections from the lower crust (S2S) (Figure 11) and will be discussed following the compressional wave sections. In the discussion that follows, upper crust refers to depths of <8 km, middle crust refers to depths of 8-20 km, and lower crust refers to depths ranging from 20 km to Moho.

Compressional Wave Data

Upper crust. Near-surface phases ("P1") exhibit high amplitudes and variable velocities. These velocities and
Corresponding velocity gradients are poorly constrained due to the 1-km spatial sampling along the profile; near-surface phases were sampled by two seismometers at best before crossover by later arrivals. Following these near-surface arrivals, three upper crustal phases are recognized (labeled \( P_2 \), \( P_3 \), and \( P_g \); Figure 4). These phases have average apparent velocities of 4.2, 5.4, and 6.0 km/s, respectively. The most pronounced upper crustal arrival is a laterally continuous \( P_g \) phase with an average apparent velocity of 6.0 km/s; this phase is observed from about 10 to 130 km (Figures 5a and 6a). \( P_g \) has very little travel time relief (\( \leq 0.08 \) s on average) across the entire profile, with the exception of a region near the Shaw Creek fault, suggesting little complexity in the velocity structure of the upper crust along this profile. The 0.2-s delay in \( P_g \) near the Shaw Creek fault (Figures 5 and 6) is a smooth bump in the travel time curve seen on the same stations (or all shots) and indicates a broad zone of low-velocity material as opposed to an abrupt vertical contact. This near-surface velocity variation observed near the Shaw Creek fault is shallow as evidenced by the onset of \( P_g \) in both directions at about 12 km offset (Figure 4b). \( P_g \) amplitudes are largest in the range of 5–45 km in offset, beyond which they decrease (Figures 5a and 6a). The weaker amplitude beyond 45 km suggests a decreasing velocity gradient at about 5 km in depth [Banda et al., 1982].

Middle to lower crust. An increase in energy return from middle to lower crustal levels, relative to upper crustal energy, is observed in these data beginning at approximately 30 km in offset from 1 to 4 s reduced time (Figures 3, 5, and 6). Reflections from the middle to lower crust are not laterally coherent (typically \( \leq 8 \) km in lateral extent) and suggest discontinuous laminations or an increase in scattering at these levels. Arriving from the base of this reflective sequence is a distinct, lower crustal reflection (labeled \( P_j P \); Figures 3 and 5–7) on several of the in-line shot profiles and on all of the off-end shot profiles. The identification of \( P_j P \) is based primarily on the amplitudes at offsets of greater than 140 km (Figures 3f, 3g, and 7). The reflection \( P_j P \) extends to offsets of 280 km (Figure 3f) where it has asymptotic velocities of 6.3–6.5 km/s. The persistence of \( P_j P \) energy to large offsets indicates a low-velocity gradient in the lower crust (Figures 3f, 3g, and 7); a high-velocity gradient would focus the \( P_j P \) reflection to a smaller range of offsets than is observed. Additionally, the asymptotic velocity observed for \( P_j P \) at far offsets indicates that lower crustal velocities directly above this layer do not exceed 6.5 km/s. The Moho reflection (\( P_m P \)) varies in character from a largely unicyclic, well defined phase (Figures 3a and 6a) to a multicyclic, poorly defined arrival (Figures 3d, 3e, and 5a). This variable character implies the structure of the Moho transition is laterally heterogeneous beneath our pro-

---

**Fig. 5.** A ray diagram for shot point 52. (a) Data with calculated travel time curves overlain. (b) Ray diagram. The data plotted in trace normalized format with a reduction velocity of 6.0 km/s. Phases labeled are \( P_g \), crustal refraction; \( P_j P \), lower crustal reflection; \( P_m P \), Moho reflection. For both \( P_j P \) and \( P_m P \) the critical point is denoted by a solid dot.
file. A low velocity gradient in the upper mantle is inferred from a weak and emergent Moho refraction (Pn) (Figures 3f to 3i; and 7a).

**Shear Wave Data**

Wide-angle intracrustal shear wave reflections are not discernable in these data. The near-surface shear wave reflections, if present, are most likely masked by the surface wave. Middle to lower crustal reflectivity is discontinuous for compressional waves, indicating that the crustal reflection character for shear waves might also be discontinuous. Additionally, several studies have demonstrated that lower crustal lamellae that are reflective for P waves can be relatively transparent for S waves at wide angles [Wenzel et al., 1987; Holbrook et al., 1988]. A prominent S$_{j}$S, a full path shear wave reflection from the lower crust, is evident on several of the record sections (Figure 11). Like its compressional wave counterpart, S$_{j}$S is laterally extensive. Additionally, P$_{I}$S, a P to S wave phase converted in the lower crust, is present in these data (Figure 10). These two phases, S$_{j}$S and P$_{I}$S, will be used to further constrain estimates of the bulk crustal composition beneath the Fairbanks South deployment.

**Velocity Models**

**Compressional Wave Model**

A compressional wave velocity model (Figures 4-7 and 8b) was constructed by forward modeling the travel time and amplitude information. One-dimensional slope intercept modeling of record sections from all shot points provided a basis for constructing a two-dimensional velocity model. Iterative perturbations of this two-dimensional model, using asymptotic ray theory [Červený et al., 1977; Luetgert, 1988] to fit travel times, further determine the crustal velocity structure. These iterations continued until the calculated travel times fitted the data to within an average of ±0.05 s. Finally, both ray theory synthetics [McMechan and Mooney, 1980; Luetgert, 1988] and reflectivity synthetics [Fuchs and Müller, 1971] were used to model the amplitude characteristics of these data.

**Error estimates**. In order to determine the error bounds on our velocity model, we tested the sensitivity of the travel time fit to perturbations of the velocity structure and interface positions. Unfortunately, unlike travel time inversion methods [see Hawman et al., 1990; Lutter et al., 1990], quantified errors are not a direct by-product of forward modeling techniques and must be determined separately.
Fig. 7. A ray diagram for shot point S1. (a) Data with calculated travel time curves overlain. (b) Ray diagram. (c) A comparison of calculated travel time curves for models with (dash-dotted line) and without (solid line) layer 6. Significant travel time misfits occur for PmP with the omission of layer 6. The data are plotted in trace-normalized format with a reduction velocity of 6.0 km/s. Phases labeled are Pg, crustal refraction; P1, lower crustal refraction; P1P, lower crustal reflection; Pn, upper mantle refraction; PmP, Moho reflection. For both P1P and PmP the critical point is denoted by a solid dot.
Fig. 8. A comparison between the geologic and the velocity model interpretations. The shot points are the numbered symbols. 2.4 is velocity in kilometers per second. See Figure 2 for geologic units. (a) Geologic cross section. (b) Velocity model.

For the uppermost crust (layers 1 = "P_1", 2 = "P_2", and 3 = "P_3"), travel times can be picked with an accuracy of ±0.02 s. The travel time fit for these layers is sensitive to velocity perturbations of greater than ±0.10 km/s and interface position perturbations of greater than ±100 m; significant travel time misfits (≥ 0.025 s) occur. For P_g (layer 4), travel times can be picked with an accuracy of ±0.02-0.05 s. The lateral extent of P_g makes its travel time fit very sensitive to velocity perturbations. Velocity perturbations of ±0.05 km/s produce travel time misfits of ≥ 0.10 s at large offsets (≥ 50 km). For the middle to lower crust and upper mantle (layers 5, 6, and 7), travel times can be picked with an accuracy of ±0.02-0.05 s and ±0.05-0.10 s for first breaks and secondary arrivals, respectively. For these layers the finite penetration of diving rays affords velocity control at the top of each layer but weaker control at its base (Figures 5b, 6b, and 7b). To avoid travel time misfits of ≥ 0.10 s for phases P_1, P_2, P, Pn, velocity errors must be less than ±0.10 km/s, ±0.20 km/s, and ±0.10 km/s for layers 5, 6, and 7 respectively. Travel time fits for P_1, P_2, P, Pn, and Pn are sensitive to interface position perturbations of ±1 km. In summary, velocity errors range from ±0.05 to 0.20 km/s, and the velocity model has estimated interface depth errors on the order of a few hundred meters in the near surface to ±1 km in the lower crust.

Upper crust. The most striking feature of the upper crust beneath the Yukon-Tanana terrane is a simple seismic velocity structure (Figure 8b). Below the low-velocity surface layer (layer 1) with velocities of 2.4-3.0 km/s, little velocity or interface structure is required by the data. Layer 2, with velocities of 3.5 km/s at the surface to 4.7 km/s at its lower interface, extends to the surface where shots and instruments were on bedrock sites. Layer 3 is 1-2 km thick with an average velocity of 5.4 km/s. Velocity gradients for layer 1 have been assigned at 0.35 s⁻¹ based on amplitude modeling of the succeeding phases; velocity gradients of >0.4 s⁻¹ hindered the propagation of energy into the crust and produced insufficient energy in later crustal phases. Layers 2 and 3 have gradients ranging from 0.2 to 0.35 s⁻¹ based on refraction branch curvature. These high gradients in layers 1-3 suggest that compaction and crack closure govern velocity increases within these layers [see Birch, 1961; Christensen, 1965, 1966b]. The division of the upper 2 km of crust into three distinct layers is warranted by the existence of travel time cusps and regions of higher amplitude at or near crossover points between velocity branches (Figure 4b). An attempt to model this portion of the crust with a single gradient zone did not successfully match the amplitude-range distribution of the shallow crustal branches. Gradients in excess of 1.0 s⁻¹ were needed and hindered penetration of energy below this zone. Layer 4 has an average velocity of 5.9 km/s. The upper 2 km of this layer...
Fig. 9. Record section for shot point 52 compared to three reflectivity synthetic record sections. The record sections are plotted in trace normalized format with a reduction velocity of 6.0 km/s. The velocity function for each synthetic is displayed to the right. Layer thickness vary randomly for each model within the bounds listed on the synthetic record sections. Velocity variations between lamellae in the models are ≤ 0.5 km/s. (a) Data. (b) Layers with thickness of 50-150 m. (c) Layers with thickness of 200-500 m. (d) Layers with thickness of 550-800 m.

required a velocity gradient of 0.2 s⁻¹ to focus Pg energy between 5 and 45 km in offset. Below this higher gradient, a velocity gradient of 0.02 s⁻¹ was determined.

Two minor inhomogeneities occur in the upper crustal velocity structure. First, the region near the Shaw Creek fault exhibits both travel time and amplitude anomalies (Figure 4b). The smooth delay in travel time requires the modeling of this region as a 10-km-wide, 1-km-deep basinlike thickening of layer 1 as opposed to a discrete vertical boundary. Second, near the sillimanite gneiss dome [Dusel-Bacon and Foster, 1983] (between shot points 73 and 56), a minor velocity perturbation of 0.5 km/s occurs in the upper 2 km of the crust (layer 3 shading; Figure 8b) and coincides with the observed increase in metamorphic grade toward the center of the gneiss dome. Both of these velocity features are shallow, extending to ≤ 2 km in depth.

Middle and lower crust. We chose to delineate the boundary between the upper and middle crust by a single interface, (“A”, Figure 8b). This decision is based on the need to define a boundary approximating the top of the reflective middle to lower crust in the two-dimensional velocity model and the crustal conductivity results of Stanley et al. [1990]. We further constrain the position of this boundary and show it to coincide with the top of the reflective middle to lower crust using reflectivity synthetics below (Figure 9). As modeled, this interface marks a velocity increase from 6.0 km/s at the base of layer 4 to 6.1 km/s at the top of layer 5. Early models divided layer 5 into several discrete layers. However, the lack of convincing coherent reflections from this region and the minor velocity contrasts across interfaces in these models (typically 0.05 km/s) favor modeling layer 5 as a single layer. In this model, no distinct
boundary occurs between middle and lower crust. Layer 5 is extended to 26-28 km in depth where it has a velocity of 6.4 km/s. At this depth a 3- to 5-km-thick region, layer 6, with velocities of 7.0-7.2 km/s, marks the transition from lower crust to mantle. As discussed below, interface "A" roughly coincides with the subhorizontal boundary between the highly resistive rocks of the YTT at the surface and the highly conductive rocks of interpreted tectonically underplated Mesozoic flysch and other highly conductive units.

The transition from upper to middle crust and the middle to lower crust reaultivity was modeled by one-dimensional reflectivity synthetics [Fuchs and Müller, 1971] (Figure 9). The lateral homogeneity of velocities in our model justifies one-dimensional modeling of a representative shot profile to characterize middle and lower crustal reflectivity beneath the seismic array. Shot point 52 was chosen to provide maximum in-line offsets. The model used the upper crustal velocity structure from the two-dimensional velocity model down to interface "A." Below this interface, lamellae thicknesses and velocities were allowed to vary randomly while keeping the average velocity of the lower crust in agreement with the two-dimensional velocity model (Figure 9). We do not suggest that these laminations are laterally continuous for tens of kilometers. Rather, our objective was to model the general energy distribution observed in these data. However, we recognize a minimum lateral extent of approximately 2.5-4 km (a half wavelength Fresnel zone) for these laminations to be imaged by our array. A model with layers ranging from 200 to 500 m (approximately 0.4 to 1 \( \lambda \)) (Figure 9c) in thickness best matches the observed energy distribution between approximately 30 and 130 km in offset and 1 and 4 s reduced time. Velocity variations between adjacent layers do not exceed \( \pm 0.5 \) km/s. It was necessary to introduce a velocity gradient at the top (6-10 km in depth) of this reflective sequence to avoid drastically over predicting amplitudes from midcrustal levels at offsets of 40-50 km and 1 s reduced travel time. A model with layer thicknesses of less than 200 m (Figure 9b) produces too little energy between offsets of 30 and 70 km but matches the data fairly well at offsets greater than 70 km; the thin layers are relatively transparent to the dominant frequency (8 Hz) of these data. A model with layer thicknesses of greater than 500 m (Figure 9d) also fails to produce sufficient energy for offsets of 30-60 km. In addition, reflector continuity is high in this model, indicating that if velocity anomalies in this region of the crust have thicknesses of greater than one wavelength, their lateral extent has to be limited.

**Moho transition.** The crust-mantle boundary is modeled at an average depth of 30 km. The transition from lower crust to mantle is modeled over a 3- to 5-km-thick zone, layer 6. A transition zone is motivated by the following data characteristics: (1) The lack of identifiable precritical \( P_{m}P \) (Figures 3, 5, and 6). (2) The variable nature of \( P_{m}P \) along the profile (Figures 3, 5, and 6). (3) The presence of \( P_{s}S \). The presence of \( P_{s}S \), although weak, suggests that the top boundary of this transition zone is a first-order discontinuity [Fuchs, 1975]. The lack of precritical \( P_{m}P \) suggests that this transition zone has a finite thickness [Braille and Chiang, 1986]. However, a closer receiver spacing might reveal coherent precritical \( P_{m}P \). Forward modeling of \( P_{s}P \) and \( P_{m}P \) requires a velocity of 7.0 \( \pm 0.2 \) km/s for this layer (Figure 7). A gradient is used to fit the observed truncation of the \( P_{m}P \) travel time curve at approximately 200 km offset.

Amplitude modeling (Figure 10), using the reflectivity results for the middle and lower crust as a starting model, confirms the need for this higher gradient suggested by the travel time fit. Modeling layer 6 with no gradient produces too much energy in the forward branch of \( P \), and \( P_{m}P \) at offsets greater than 200 km (Figure 10b). A gradient of 0.04 s^-1 matches the truncation of both \( P \), and \( P_{m}P \) at 200 km offset as observed in the data (Figure 10c). Modeling layer 6 with a simple gradient satisfactorily predicts \( P_{m}P \) for shot profiles where \( P_{m}P \) is a strong, unicyclic phase in the range of 80-100 km in offset (compare \( P_{m}P \) in Figure 9a with Figure 10d). To produce the more multicyclic, poorly defined \( P_{m}P \) observed for shot points 52 and 57, we tried several approaches. First, by increasing the velocity at the base of layer 6, thereby reducing the reflection coefficient across the crust-mantle boundary, we hoped to reduce the amplitude of \( P_{m}P \) sufficiently to be lost in lower crustal energy. This approach still produced a strong \( P_{m}P \) reflection. Second, we introduced layering in layer 6 with the same parameters used for the middle to lower crust as discussed above. This approach proved satisfactory for reproducing the multicyclic, poorly defined \( P_{m}P \) observed for shot profiles 52 and 57 (compare Figure 9a with Figure 10e). It is also possible that the poorly defined \( P_{m}P \) on shot points 52 and 57 is due, in part, to an increase in scattering along similar \( P_{m}P \) travel paths for these reversing shot profiles.

Layer 6 produces a "hidden" arrival with a critical point at approximately 100 km making it a difficult phase to discern on the in-line shot profiles. For this reasons, early models excluded this layer. These early models were still able to match the first break and \( P_{m}P \) (now interpreted at far offsets as \( P, P \)) travel time curves to a first order (Figure 7c). However, both the curvature of the \( P_{m}P \) reflection and the travel times of \( Pn \) could not be matched simultaneously. Based on the travel time mismatch for \( P_{m}P \) between approximately 150 and 200 km in offset and on the prominent precritical \( P, P \) observed for shot point 51 (between 70 and 100 km at approximately 3 s reduced time; Figure 7a), an additional lower crustal layer, layer 6, was introduced. The high precritical amplitudes observed for \( P, P \) can be attributed to interference effects within the layered middle and lower crust. The introduction of layer 6 does little to change the overall velocity structure of the crust. The average crustal velocity is 0.05 km/s higher in models with layer 6 than without and the Moho is approximately 1 km deeper in models with layer 6 than without. The recognition of layer 6 in the velocity model also changes the interpretation of far offset phase correlation. The far offset arrival interpreted as \( P_{m}P \) in early models is now interpreted as \( P, P \).

**Shear Wave Model**

The presence of \( S, S \) on several of the profiles allows for the determination of an average crustal Poisson's ratio. By converting the compressional wave velocity model to shear-wave velocity models, using several values of Poisson's ratio, travel times for \( S, S \) were calculated (Figure 11). An average crustal Poisson's ratio of 0.23 best models the onset of \( S, S \). We feel that this determination of Poisson's ratio is not biased either by velocity anisotropy or by misinterpretation of the \( S, S \) phase for the following reasons. First, although anisotropy is strong and pervasive in sampled lithologies along the profile, propagation for \( P \) and \( S \) waves
are parallel to the regional textural fabric defined by aligned micas, feldspar, quartz, and other minerals. Propagation directions parallel to this fabric is the fast direction for both compressional and shear waves [see Christensen, 1966a, b]. For a constant Poisson's ratio, PnP and S	extsubscript{S}S follow roughly the same ray path. Therefore Poisson's ratio should not be biased by the anisotropy. Second, S	extsubscript{S}S can be distinguished from a converted arrival off a midcrustal interface (8 km depth) simply by travel time. That is, if we had inadvertently interpreted an S wave which converted to a P wave in the middle crust to be S	extsubscript{S}S, the converted phase would arrive $\approx 3.0$ s earlier than observed. Alternatively, if such a conversion occurred in the near surface off the sediment-basement interface, the converted phase would be separated from S	extsubscript{S}S by 0.2-0.3 s. This separation is less than the separation between calculated travel time curves for Poisson's ratios of 0.22 and 0.23. Consequently, if a near-surface converted phase is identified as S	extsubscript{S}S, the error in our calculated Poisson's ratio is $\geq 0.01$. Finally, if we've interpreted S\textsubscript{m}S to be S	extsubscript{S}S, Poisson's ratio is over estimated by...
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Fig. 11. $S_p S$ recorded from shot point 59. The data are plotted in trace normalized format with a reduction velocity of 5.0 km/s. $S_p S$ calculated travel times for several values of Poisson’s ratio (labeled on the left) are overlain.

0.03–0.04. However, a similar velocity gradient modeled in layer 6 for compressional waves would be expected for shear waves, focusing $S_{m} S$ to a smaller range of offsets than observed for $S_p S$ in these data.

DISCUSSION

Surface Geology and the Velocity Model

The limited lateral velocity variability of the model (Figure 8b) is suggestive of a mineralogically homogeneous medium. The bedrock of the southern YTT consists of metasedimentary and metagranitic rocks and granitic plutons. Since shear wave and compressional wave velocities relate to mineralogy [Christensen, 1966a; Christensen and Fountain, 1975; Kern and Richter, 1981] and representative YTT samples along the profile consist mainly of varying proportions of quartz, sodic plagioclase, and mica (Table 1) which have similar average compressional wave velocities [Babuška, 1981], compressional wave velocities are expected to be relatively uniform along the profile (Figure 12). Consequently, although the bedrock geology is complex (Figure 8a), the seismic structure is simple (Figure 8b). The shallow extent of both the Shaw Creek fault and the sillimanite gneiss dome in the velocity model can be explained by this lack of velocity discrimination between the bedrock units along the profile. However, previous refraction studies across gneiss domes [e.g., Mooney et al., 1985] indicate deep-rooted velocity structures, suggesting the possibility that the sillimanite gneiss dome along this profile could alternatively be truncated at depth.

Crustal Composition Beneath the YTT

Concurrent analysis of compressional and shear wave data can be used to constrain crustal lithologies [e.g., Holbrook et al., 1988]. Poisson’s ratio, calculated from compressional and shear wave velocities, yields more information than either velocity alone. The modulus’s dependence on modal composition and the influence of several key minerals is well known [Christensen, 1966b; Christensen and Fountain, 1975; Kern and Richter, 1981]. Quartz imposes the greatest influence on a rock’s Poisson’s ratio; quartz has an anomalously low ratio of 0.08 due to a low compressional wave velocity and high shear wave velocity [Christensen and

TABLE 1. Modal Composition of YTT Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TA-42</th>
<th>TA-44</th>
<th>TA-46</th>
<th>TA-47</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td>feldspathic quartz mica gneiss amphibolite</td>
<td>quartz mica schist amphibolite</td>
<td>sillimanite gneiss upper amphibolite</td>
<td>quartz feldspar gneiss amphibolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphic grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Percent Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>32 70 65 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>40 5 6 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>12 20 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>8 2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet</td>
<td>3 2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcline</td>
<td>5 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>... 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillimanite</td>
<td>... 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By comparing our velocity model to laboratory measured velocities we can further constrain likely crustal compositions beneath the Fairbanks South deployment (Figure 13; Table 2). In order to make a proper comparison, laboratory velocities need to be corrected for temperature. For our comparison, we use a standard geotherm for a surface heat flow of 40 mW/m². We use a geotherm for this value as opposed to one for the surface heat flow measured by Lawver et al. [1981] for two reasons. First, the high Pn recorded by the Fairbanks South deployment suggests low temperatures at the base of the crust [Black and Braile, 1982]. For regions with heat flow similar to that of the YTT (e.g., Basin and Range), mean Pn velocities are 7.8-8.0 km/s [Black and Braile, 1982]. This argument, however, is complicated by the possibility of upper mantle anisotropy. Second, the earthquake focal depths determined by Gedney et al. [1980] indicate brittle deformation to depths of approximately 20 km beneath the YTT, suggesting a lower geotherm than expected from the surface heat flow [Sibson, 1984]. The high heat flow measured in the Elison pluton [Lawver et al., 1981] can be accounted for by the inferred felsic crustal composition, based on the low crustal velocities, beneath the YTT. Typical geotherms are calculated assuming a decrease in the concentration of radiogenic elements in the crust with depth [Lachenbruch, 1970]. We propose that the YTT overall felsic crustal composition provides a more even distribution of radiogenic elements throughout the crust. Therefore the high heat flow measured at the Elison pluton is explained by a higher than normal crustal contribution affording a lower temperature gradient throughout the crust.

Temperature-corrected velocities measured from representative samples collected along the Fairbanks South deployment match the average YTT velocity structure to within ±0.1 km/s to depths of approximately 10 km (Figure 12). Poisson’s ratio for these samples range from 0.22 to 0.24. Also fitting the average YTT velocity structure between 0 and 10 km in depth, intermediate to felsic lithologies are compatible with the average YTT middle and lower crustal velocity structure (Figures 13a and 13b). In contrast, mafic lithologies grossly misfit the low (≤ 6.4 km/s) YTT middle to lower crustal velocities (Figures 13c and 13d). At the base of the crust (27–31 km), layer 6 is well matched by laboratory velocities for gabbro and amphibolite (Figures 13c and 13d). These comparisons indicate that the YTT surface lithologies extend up to 10 km in depth. This result is in agreement with geological and geophysical evidence that the YTT is a thin-skinned (~10 km thick) terrane. Beneath the YTT proper, the crust has a high silica, felsic composition. We note that the interpreted, tectonically underplated and presumably metamorphosed Mesozoic flysch proposed by Stanley et al. [1990] would have a similar, high silica, felsic composition and therefore would also be expected to fit the midcrustal to lower crustal velocity function (Figure 13f). Preliminary laboratory-measured velocities on Kahiltna terrane flysch are in agreement with this interpretation. Based on velocity alone, the base of the crust, layer 6 in the velocity model, marks a lithologic change from felsic lower crust to a more mafic composition. Had we used a higher geotherm, the temperature-corrected laboratory velocities would be approximately 0.1-0.2 km/s lower and would still support the above conclusions.

The origin of the thin, high-velocity layer at the base of the crust beneath the YTT remains enigmatic. Possible candidates for this basal layer include a magmatically underplated mafic layer, a thinned remnant of oceanic crust, a high-grade metamorphic equivalent of the crust overlying it, a relic of continental margin lower crust, or an underplated part of the Wrangelia terrane. Based on the refraction results and laboratory velocities, we can only rule out one of these candidates. A comparison of laboratory velocities for both silicic and felsic granulites (Figure 13f) indicates that a high-grade metamorphic equivalent of our interpreted lower crust would not satisfy the YTT velocity function.

Comparisons to Velocity Structures from Other Regions

A somewhat similar Mesozoic and Cenozoic geologic history is shared by the YTT and the southwestern Basin and Range. This history includes outboard accretion, compres- sion and plutonism, followed by extension. Refraction and wide-angle reflection data from the southwestern Basin and Range resemble those of the YTT; Pg is near 6.0 km/s, PpP
Fig. 13. A comparison of our average Yukon-Tanana terrane (YTT) velocity function (thick line) with laboratory measured velocities (thin lines) for six rock types. The laboratory velocities have been temperature corrected using a geotherm for a surface heat flow of 40 mW/m². See Table 2 for sources of laboratory measurements. (a) YTT compared to 15 granite samples. (b) YTT compared to 10 granodiorite samples. (c) YTT compared to 11 amphibolite samples. (d) YTT compared to 33 gabbro samples. (e) YTT compared to 10 flysch samples. (f) YTT compared to 19 silicic and felsic granulite samples.

is visible, and PnP is clear in most places. We compare the YTT velocity structure to the velocity structure for the Buckskin-Rawhide metamorphic core complex of southwestern Arizona [McCarthy and Thompson, 1988] (Figure 14a). Like the YTT, this region has surface exposures of midcrustal rocks. The Buckskin-Rawhide metamorphic core complex of southwestern Arizona exhibits a similar low-velocity crust as the YTT (average velocities of 6.1 and 6.3 km/s for the YTT and Buckskin-Rawhide profiles, respectively) [McCarthy and Thompson, 1988] (Figure 14a). Differences between these two velocity structures occur in the upper 3 km of crust, where the Buckskin-Rawhide metamorphic core complex has significantly higher velocities, and at the base of the crust, where the YTT exhibits a higher-velocity lower crustal layer, a deeper Moho by 4 km, and a higher upper mantle velocity. Unlike the Buckskin-Rawhide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>Brooks [1985], Christensen [1966a], Fountain [1976], Kanamori and Mizutani [1965], and Simmons [1964]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>Birch [1961], Bonner and Schock [1981], Brooks [1985], Hall and Simmons [1979], Kern and Schenk [1985], Schock et al. [1974], Simmons and Brace [1965], and Simmons [1964]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>Bonner and Schock [1981], Brooks [1985], Christensen [1978], Christensen et al. [1973], Chromson and Evans [1983], Fox et al. [1973], Hyndman [1976], Kanamori and Mizutani [1965], Kroenke et al. [1976], and Nur and Simmons [1969]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicic and felsic granulite</td>
<td>Batt and Seyler [1987], Christensen and Fountain [1975], Chromson and Brooks [1989], Hall and Simmons [1979], Kern and Schenk [1985, 1988], and Manghnani et al. [1974]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
model, the basal high-velocity layer in our model fits well within the velocity range for mantle-derived rocks [Furlong and Fountain, 1986]. Recent work by Kushiro [1990] suggests that partial melting of the mantle wedge is a viable mechanism for crustal underplating in a subduction zone setting. In Kushiro’s [1990] model, the base of island arc crust consists of mafic cumulates juxtaposed with ultramafic cumulates (including olivine pyroxenite), a boundary which would seismically be defined as Moho. This model provides an alternative explanation for the high Pn velocity beneath the YTT. A high percentage of olivine in the upper mantle, as opposed to a low upper mantle temperature, can explain the high Pn velocity; olivine exhibits relatively little variation in velocity with temperature [Christensen, 1979].

Early models suggest that the YTT was emplaced or constructed on continental crust [Tempelman-Kluit, 1976; Dusel-Bacon and Aleinikoff, 1985; Mortensen and Jilson, 1985], similar to that of the Canadian shield in the Churchill province [Dusel-Bacon and Aleinikoff, 1985]. The more recent model of Stanley et al. [1990] indicates that the crust beneath the underplated Mesozoic flysch could possibly be a part of the Wrangellia terrane. Here we compare the YTT velocity structure to both continental crust [Hajnal et al., 1984] (Figure 14b) and the Wrangellia terrane [Goodwin et al., 1989] (Figure 14c). The significantly higher velocities (0.3–0.6 km/s higher) observed for the Churchill province [Hajnal et al., 1984] in the upper to lower crust as compared to the YTT (between 5 and 27 km in depth) indicates that these rocks can no longer exist beneath the YTT (Figure 14b). The comparison between the velocity structure of the YTT and the Wrangellia terrane presents a more complicated picture (Figure 14c). Taking into account changes in velocity due to confining pressure and temperature, midcrustal (between 10 and 20 km in depth) velocities for the Wrangellia terrane [Goodwin et al., 1989] are consistent with midcrustal to lower crustal (layer 5 from 15 to 27 km in depth) velocities in the YTT velocity model. Additionally, the high (6.9 km/s) lower crustal (= 26 km in depth) velocities observed for the Wrangellia terrane match the velocities for layer 6 in our YTT model. These two observations allow the possibilities that either the lower part of layer 5 or layer 6 is an underplated part of the Wrangellia terrane.

A Model of Crustal Growth for the YTT

The origin of the crust beneath the YTT is a complex problem. Common Pb isotopic studies [Nokleberg and Lange, 1985; Aleinikoff et al., 1986, 1987], initial Sr ratios [Le Couteur and Tempelman-Kluit, 1976; Dusel-Bacon and Aleinikoff, 1985; Mortensen and Jilson, 1985; Aleinikoff et al., 1986], and U-Pb ages on relict detrital zircons [Aleinikoff et al., 1986, 1987] support a continental affinity for the YTT. In contrast, magnetotelluric studies indicate the middle to lower crustal rocks beneath the YTT are highly conductive, tectonically underplated Mesozoic flysch and other conductive units [Labson et al., 1988; Stanley et al., 1990]. Also bearing on this is the recent work of Aleinikoff et al. [1989, 1990] that indicates progressive contamination of Cretaceous and early Tertiary granitic magmas by rocks with the same range of isotopic ratios as the Mesozoic flysch from the Kahlina terrane and the Gravina arc. With these observations and our determination of a thin, silicic crust beneath the YTT, we propose the following interpretation of this crustal structure: (1) The YTT was originally formed on continental crust [Dusel-Bacon and Aleinikoff, 1985; Mortensen and Jilson, 1985; Nokleberg et al., 1989]. (2) During initial amalgamation and subsequent translation the YTT’s continentally derived upper crust was telescoped, thereby forming a largely sialic crustal section. (3) Subsequently, the YTT was tectonically underplated by Mesozoic flysch with the ancestral YTT middle to lower crust being tectonically eroded [Stanley et al., 1990]. (4) The collision and amalgamation of Wrangellia, as part of the Peninsular-Alexander-Wrangellia superterrane, emplaced a portion of Wrangellia beneath the YTT/Mesozoic flysch assemblage. (5) Continued outboard subduction and related magmatism underplated the YTT assemblage with mantle-derived mafic rocks.

CONCLUSIONS

Our tectonic model depicts the formation of the interpreted crustal structure beneath the southern YTT. The
main features of the crustal structure are (Figure 15): the low-velocity rocks of the southern YTT extend from the surface to depths of about 10 km; a décollement, approximately interface "A", at the base of the southern YTT; the occurrence beneath the southern YTT of the underthrust Mesozoic flysch and basement of the Wrangellia(? ) terrane; low-velocity rocks extending to depths of about 27 km; a 3- to 5-km-thick layer of mantle-derived mafic rocks, relic oceanic crust, or Wrangellia(? ) terrane lower crust extend from 27 km to approximately 30 km in depth; a tectonically young Moho beneath the southern YTT at an average depth of 30 km; and mantle that may be relatively cool and/or olivine rich.

The deep-crustal structure beneath the YTT is interpreted as forming by various events including underthrusting and tectonic erosion, underplating of mafic magma and extension during Mesozoic time. Associated with the emplacement of the lower crust, intense subhorizontal fabric formed during multiple periods of low-angle thrusting and extensional faulting. This fabric is manifest in the reflectivity at middle to lower crustal depths observed in the refraction data. The Moho is interpreted as a tectonically young feature forming either during the emplacement of mantle-derived magmas or the underthrusting of the Wrangellia terrane. Finally, the Fairbanks South refraction data confirm that the Yukon-Tanana terrane is interpreted as forming by various events including underthrusting and extensional faulting during Mesozoic time.
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